

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia, 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/337,096	06/21/1999	KENNETH A. NICOLL	7610	8518
7	7590 09/10/2003			
MICHAEL CHAN  NCR CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION ECD 2			EXAMINER	
			WEISBERGER, RICHARD C	
	101 WEST SCHANTZ AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3624 DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

خده	\$	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Ŷ	Advisory Action	09/337,096	NICOLL ET AL.			
	,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard C Weisberger	3624			
.,	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) [ b) [	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the railing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee may be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4.	,,	· · · ——	separate, timely filed amendment			
	canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•			
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected:					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9.	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		$\bigwedge$	Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3624			





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims read on art of record, and the limitations of the prior art not found in the claims (e.g., a bill) are not germane to the pentability of the "open ended" claims.